

APPENDIX 2 – Assurance Process

1 Introduction

- 1.1 The Leeds City Region Assurance Framework has been subject to an extensive review due to the West Yorkshire Devolution Deal for a Mayoral Combined Authority to be established.
- 1.2 As part of this process, the assurance process has been reviewed and amended based on feedback received as part of the consultation with stakeholders.
- 1.3 The updated process, shown in figure 1 below, has been presented to the Combined Authority's Senior Leadership Team, Directors of Development and Scrutiny Committee for feedback and comments to be received.
- 1.4 The proposed amendments to the process are as follows:

West Yorkshire Investment Strategy (WYIS)

- The programmes/projects will of work that enter the Assurance Framework and will flow from the WYIS.
- The detail of this is still to be agreed but this will set out the context, objectives and targets of the MCA and the transformational investments that the MCA will commit resources to over an agreed time period (possibly 5 years).
- The programmes / projects that enter the Assurance Framework will flow from the WYIS.

Stage 1: Assessment and Sequencing-led by Policy

- The main change in Stage 1 is that this will be led by Policy, in close consultation with Delivery and Corporate Services, and the deletion of the existing decision point 1 (approved by the Director of Delivery in consultation with the Director of Policy, Strategy and Communications).
- Activity 1 will now provide an early stage light touch review to identify approaches / schemes that align with the transformational investments set out in the WYIS. This will be done through the use of Strategic Assessments or a similar tool as part of a sequencing / prioritisation process and will include political engagement. It is proposed that a Strategic Assessment Prioritisation Group (SAP) replaces SARG to provide this check and Challenge Review
- The main output of Stage 1 is now **Activity 2**: Strategic Outline Case (SOC). This will be undertaken at programme level wherever possible, to allow full appraisal and consideration of impact of the programme. Where a programme SOC is submitted it will set out the

projects to be included based on the programme criteria. Projects may still be submitted however and these will have their own SOC.

Stage 2: Scheme Development- led by Delivery

- The main change in Stage 2 is that the need for a Full Business Case with Finalised Costs has been removed.
- Activity 3: Outline Business Case (OBC) remains largely the same.
 Guidance will be provided around the level of detail expected at OBC
 compared to the Full Business Case (FBC) and work is ongoing with
 regards to proportionality of business cases. A Monitoring and
 Evaluation Plan and Benefits Realisation Plan are required as part of the
 Assurance Framework.
- Activity 4: Full Business Case. It is proposed that approval to progress
 is granted with conditions set regarding funding. When these conditions
 have been met, and the scheme costs have remained within the
 allocated budget post procurement, this is then approved to proceed into
 delivery by the Director of Delivery following a recommendation from the
 Portfolio Appraisal Team (PAT). If the conditions are not met, then the
 scheme would return to FBC for additional costs and impact to be
 considered.

Stage 3: Delivery and Evaluation- Activity 5 and Activity 6 led by Delivery and Activity 7 led by Policy (R&I)

- Activity 5: Delivery Closure Report and Activity 6: Financial Closure Report will still be undertaken as existing, but in a slightly different format.
- Activity 7: Evaluation will be led by Research and Intelligence. This is a reporting point as opposed to the previous decision points in the process, and will be undertaken when the Programme (or project in some circumstances), is completed for an evaluation of the benefits, outcomes and economic impact compared to the overall programme objectives set out in the SOC. Interim evaluations may also be undertaken as required as set out in the Monitoring and Evaluation Plan.

Figure 1: Assurance process

